Novice Programmers in the First Three Semesters: Gaining a Better Understanding of the Problem

Malcolm Corney

ALTA Forum, Brisbane 12-13 April, 2012

Science and Engineering Faculty



Project Team

• QUT

- Malcolm Corney
- Colin Fidge
- James Hogan
- Mike Roggenkamp
- Donna Teague (PhD Student)
- University of Technology Sydney
 - Raymond Lister
- University of Western Australia
 - Luigi Barone
 - Rachel Cardell-Oliver



Project Team

- All participants are/were teaching 1st, 2nd and 3rd semester programming units
 - Teaching assignments changed
 - Not all of project team now involved
 - Not all replacements keen to participate



What Do We Know?

- Teaching programming is not easy
 - Languages
 - Tools
 - Objects early / Objects late
 - Teachers, engagement, peer learning
- Students learn at different rates



Motivation

- Plethora of literature discussing ways of improving L&T for novice programmers
- Little real data on novice programmers' capabilities
- Most studies concentrate on first programming subject



Project Aims

- Goal
 - Longitudinal study (three semesters) of students' performance on test and exam questions
- Expected Outcomes
 - An archive of "in class test" and exam questions
 - An anonymised repository of students' tests, final exam scripts etc.
 - Performance data from students from multiple universities



Project Methodology

- Action research approach
 - Two iterations
 - Iteration 1 Semester 2, 2011
 - Informed by pilot study
 - QUT CS0, CS1
 - UTS CS1
 - Iteration 2 Semester 1, 2012
 - QUT CS0, CS1, CS2
 - UTS CS1
 - UWA CS1



Instruments

- In-Class Tests
 - Not for marks
 - Presented as informal quizzes in lecture sessions
 - Learning opportunity answers are modelled
 - Gives regular snapshots of understanding of concepts
- Exam Questions
 - Questions similar to in-class tests
 - In longitudinal chain of units



Pilot Study

• CS0

- Swapping
- Assignment Statements
- Reversing
- CS1
 - Explain in Plain English questions
- CS2
 - Soloway's Rainfall Question

Science and Engineering Faculty



Iteration 1 - Semester 2, 2011

- Neo-Piagetian Concepts
 - Conservation
 - Reversibility
 - Transitive Inference

Science and Engineering Faculty



Conservation

- Find the longest string value in an array/list
- Two implementations choose which option for each line



Science and Engineering Faculty



Reversibility

 Given code to shift elements of an array one position to the right, write code to shift them back

```
temp = values[len(values) - 1]
  for index in range(len(values) - 1, 0, -1):
    values[index] = values[index - 1]
values[0] = temp
```



Transitive Inference

Code given that prints smaller of two stored values

if adam < bob:
 print adam
else:
 print bob</pre>

Science and Engineering Faculty



Transitive Inference

Code given with three variables

```
if adam < bob:
    # code to swap adam and bob:
    temp = adam
    adam = bob
    bob = temp
if bob < charlie:
    # assume code to swap bob and charlie is here
if adam < bob:
    # assume code to swap adam and bob is here
```

Ask for purpose of code in plain English

Puts values in descending order

Science and Engineering Faculty



Iteration 2 - Semester 1, 2012

- Leading Questions
- In class tests running each two weeks in first unit
- Will be followed with the NP concept questions in exam
- Progressive questions will be asked in second unit exam
- Progressive questions will be asked in in-class tests in third unit



Outcomes to Date

- Pilot Study
 - 1 x ACE 2011 Paper
 - 2 x ACE 2012 Papers Best Paper Award
 - After grant was received, this project became part of a successful ALTC grant application BABELnot
 - ACE 2011 work replicated by Murphy et al, SIGCSE 2012
- Iteration 1
 - Paper submitted for ITiCSE 2012
 - Paper to be submitted to ICER 2012
- Anonymised repository nearing prototype stage
- Informed Improvements for Teaching

Science and Engineering Faculty



Future Work

- Analysis of Iteration 2
- Iteration 3
- More Collaborators
- Acknowledgements
- Questions

